

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT JOHN P. WHITE COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS WRITTEN OPINION NEW YORK, NY 10036 RECEIVED COOPER & DUNHAM (PCT Rule 66) JUN 2 9 2001 on: 7/26/2001 Date of Mailing DOCKET CLERK (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within ONE months from the above date of mailing 58040-A-PCT International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04 MAY 2000 04 MAY 1999 PCT/US00/12536 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 38/16 and US Cl.: 514/2, 21 Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion H Priority Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability ΙV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this When? Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4. The final date by which the international preliminary

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 04 SEPTEMBER 2001

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Setting Faulrence for

JEAN C. WITZ

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196



International application No.

PCT/US00/12536

| . Basis of the o            | pinion   |  |   |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| _                           | elements of the internation                                  |  |   |
| X the internat              | tional application as o                                      | riginally filed  |   |
| x the descrip               |  |  |   |
| pages                       | 1-34   |  | , as originally filed                                       |
| pages                       |  |  | , filed with the demand                                     |
| pages                       | NONE   | , filed with the lette   | er of   |
| X the claims:               |  |  |   |
| pages                       | 35-37  |  | , as originally filed                                       |
| pages                       | NONE   |  | her with any statement) under Article 19                    |
| pages                       |  |  | , filed with the demand                                     |
| pages                       | NONE   | _ , filed with the letter of   |   |
| X the drawing               | QS:  |  |   |
| pages                       | 1.0  | ·  | , as originally filed                                       |
| pages                       | NONE   |  | , filed with the demand                                     |
| pages                       | NONE   |  | of  |
|                             | 1:   |  |   |
|                             | e listing part of the des                                    |  | , as originally filed                                       |
| pages                       | NONE   |  | , as originally fried                                       |
| pages                       | NONE   | filed with the letter  | of  |
|                             | · •  | e international application (under the hed for the purposes of international p | Rule 48.3(b)). preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 a. |
| . With regard to a          | ny <b>nucleotide and/or an</b><br>sis of the sequence listin |  | nternational application, the written opinion w             |
| contained i                 | n the international app                                      | olication in printed form.   |   |
| filed togeth                | ner with the internation                                     | nal application in computer readal   | ble form.   |
| furnished s                 | ubsequently to this A  | uthority in written form.  |   |
| furnished s                 | ubsequently to this A  | athority in computer readable form   | n.  |
| The statement international | ent that the subsequent<br>I application as filed h          | y furnished written sequence listing<br>as been furnished.                     | does not go beyond the disclosure in the                    |
| The stateme been furnish    |  | ecorded in computer readable form is   | s identical to the writen sequence listing has              |
| 1 X The amend               | lments have resulted i                                       | n the cancellation of:   |   |
| [\bar{\pi}]                 | lescription, pages   | NONE   |   |
| T                           | laims, Nos.  | NONE   |   |
|                             | lrawings, sheets <del>/fig</del> _                           | NONE   |   |
| 5. This opinion             | n has been drawn as if (s                                    | come of) the amendments had not been dicated in the Supplemental Box (Rul      | n made, since they have been considered to g                |
| * Replacement shee          |  |  | to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to           |







# International application No. PCT/US00/12536

| statement   |        |      |       |
|---|--------|------|-------|
| Novelty (N)   | Claims | 1-21 | YE    |
| , , ,   | Claims | NONE | NO    |
| Inventive Step (IS)                                       | Claims | 1-21 | YE    |
|   | Claims | NONE | NO    |
| Industrial Applicability (IA)                             | Claims | 1-21 | YE    |
| Industrial Applicability (IA)                             | Claims | NONE | NC NC |
| of a gp130 receptor ligand and a growth fac NEW CITATIONS |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
| ·   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      | ·     |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |
| . • •   | •      |      |       |
|   |        |      |       |



## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/12536

| Certain published docume                        | ents (Rule 70.10)                 |   |              |   |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|---|--|--|
| Application No. Patent No.                      | Publication Date (day/month/year) |   |              | Priority date (valid claim (day/month/year)                               |  |  |
| WO, A, 0041713                                  | 20 JULY 2000                      | 28 DECEMBE                                    | ER 1999      | 29 DECEMBER 1998  |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              | ·   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
|   |                                   |   |              |   |  |  |
| Non-written disclosures                         | (Rule 70.9)                       |   |              |   |  |  |
| Non-written disclosures Kind of non-written dis |                                   | of non-written disclosure<br>(day/month/year) | D<br>referri | Pate of written disclosure ang to non-written disclosing (day/month/year) |  |  |
|   |                                   | of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)    | D<br>referri | ng to non-written disclosi  |  |  |
|   |                                   | of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)    | D<br>referri | ng to non-written disclosi  |  |  |
|   |                                   | of non-written disclosure<br>(day/month/year) | referri      | ng to non-written disclost (day/month/year)                               |  |  |
|   |                                   | of non-written disclosure<br>(day/month/year) | referri      | ng to non-written disclosi  |  |  |
|   |                                   | of non-written disclosure<br>(day/month/year) | referri      | ng to non-written disclost (day/month/year)                               |  |  |
|   | closure Date o                    | of non-written disclosure<br>(day/month/year) | referri      | ng to non-written disclost (day/month/year)                               |  |  |
|   | closure Date o                    | -   | referri      | ng to non-written disclost (day/month/year)                               |  |  |



#### WRITTEN OPINION



International application No.

PCT/US00/12536

### VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-21 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because practice of the claimed invention is not enabled as required under PCT Rule 5.1(a) for the reasons set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.

The specification indicates that a combination of a gp130 receptor ligand, specifically LIF, in combination with a growth factor, most specifically TIMP, resulted in epithelialization of metanephric mesenchyme and formation of tubules and nephrogenesis in vitro. This has confirmed the state of the art that LIF alone has little effect in vitro on growth or on ureteric-bud morphogenesis other than to stimulate the bifurcation process. The state of the art also acknowledges that renal mesangial cells both synthesize and react to LIF. LIF is not mitogenic for the mesangial cells. LIF is excreted in the urine of kidney transplant patients undergoing acute rejection but is not found in stable graft recipients. Transgenic mice that overexpress LIF develop mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis. While numerous growth factors have some influence on kidney development, the state of the art indicates that the specification has shown a developmental effect on kidney mesangial cells of LIF combined with TIMP, such showing is insufficient to enable claims to in vivo treatments of kidney disease and failure. It remains unpredictable as to the interactions of the growth factors with the extant kidney tissue as well as the response of the transplanted primoridal kidney tissue when exposed to the in vivo biochemistry and physiology. This is particularly true of claim 4 and those claim that depend from same as there is no showing or suggestion of is supposed to occur when the LIF and the TIMP are administered. It would appear that the etiologies of the different types and causes of the kidney failure would be expected to have an effect upon how that kidney failure is treated. Therefore the cited claims are not enabled by the specification.





## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/12536

| Supple | emental | Box    |         |       |        |           |       |        |             |
|--------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|
| (To be | used wh | en the | space i | n anv | of the | preceding | boxes | is not | sufficient) |

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

#### TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT JOHN P. WHITE COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS WRITTEN OPINION NEW YORK, NY 10036\_ RECEIVED COOPER & DUNHAM (PCT Rule 66) JUN 2 9 2001 Date of Mailing DOCKET CLERK (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within ONE months from the above date of mailing 58040-A-PCT Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 04 MAY 1999 04 MAY 2000 PCT/US00/12536 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 38/16 and US Cl.: 514/2, 21 Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability Ш ΙV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this When? Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. How? For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 04 SEPTEMBER 2001 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Faurence La

Telephone No.

(703) 308-0196

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

Washington, D.C. 20231

Box PCT

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks